

## **Jumps Strategies: 2017/18**

(for those Jumps or Summer Jumps qualifiers listed in section 1 of Members' Posts)

### **NOTE:**

*Please read appendix A if you do not understand the strategies below.*

*It is advised to start with 1 or 2 strategies, and not back them all. My advice would be to start with S1 and S4, starting with small bets/paper trading, and building up over time. In a perfect world you approach to the strategies would add at least 100+ points to the pot over the course of a year.*

\*

**Updated up to end 28<sup>th</sup> Jan 2018**

### **Strategy #1 (G1/G3 10/1+)**

Results 2017

- Total 2017
  - 132 bets / 17 wins / 13% sr / +91 early|bog / +118 BFSP / 69 % ROI

Results 2018

- 22<sup>nd</sup>-28<sup>th</sup> Jan : 1/4,1p, +9, +7 BFSP
- Total: 2/19,10p, +11 , +10 (BFSP)

### **Strategy #2 (10/1-25/1)**

Results 2017

- Total 2017
  - 699 bets / 64 wins / 9% sr / +271.4 early|bog / / 40% ROI

Results 2018

- 22<sup>nd</sup>-28<sup>th</sup> Jan : 1/12,1p, +1, -1 BFSP
- Total: 4/57,16p, +1 , +5 (BFSP)

\*

**16/1 – 25/1 (on morning prices)**

2018-

- Win only (1 point win): 1/9,3p, +9 , +15 (BFSP)
- Each Way (1/2 point EW): 1/9,3p, +7.05

### **Strategy #3: The Elite Squad**

#### Results 2017

- Total 2017: 209 bets / 27 wins / 68 places / 13% sr / -20.25 early|bog

#### Results 2018

- 22<sup>nd</sup>-28<sup>th</sup> Jan : 1/6,3p, -2.5
- Total: 2/25,7p, -4.5

### **# 3 A : Elite Squad Plus (+) (ES+)**

#### Results 2017

- Total: 77 bets / 14 wins / 24 places / 18% win sr / +9.75 early|bog

#### Results 2018

- 22<sup>nd</sup>-28<sup>th</sup> Jan : 0/4,0p. -4
- Total: 2/22,6p, -10.2

### **Strategy #4: Treble Rated** (one of H1/3, I1/3, G1/3) (introduced 31<sup>st</sup> Aug)

#### Results 2017 (31<sup>st</sup> Aug-31<sup>st</sup> Dec)

- Total: 70 bets / 28 wins / 45 places / 40% win sr / 64% w/place sr / +38.88

#### Results 2018

- 22<sup>nd</sup>-28<sup>th</sup> Jan : 1/6,2p, -2.7
- Total: 2/22,11p, -14.95

### **Strategy #5: Inform Speed Ratings, (I1/I3) 10/1+**

#### Results 2017 (May 1<sup>st</sup>- 31<sup>st</sup> Dec)

- 129 bets / 10 wins / +26 early|bog / +44.75 BFSP

#### Results 2018

- 22<sup>nd</sup>-28<sup>th</sup> Jan : 0/4,1p, -4
- Total: 0/17,5p, -17 , -17 (BFSP)

## Appendix A : Strategies explained/ongoing notes

### Strategy # 1

#### Rules

- Horse is Geegeez Top Rated or Geegeez Top 3 Rated: **G1** or **G3**
- Horse is priced 10/1 or bigger on morning prices as indicated by the odds I add next to the qualifiers. Alternatively they can be 10/1 or bigger at a time that you look.
- The horse **also qualifies** IF it is priced 11.00 or bigger at Betfair Starting Price. This covers those horses that are under 10/1 on morning prices but then drift. On morning prices they would not have qualified, but if their BFSP is 11.00 or bigger they qualify that way. You can set a 'minimum' odds for these types at a time that suits you. There is no need to monitor prices.
- A video example of using Betfair to set this price can be watched [HERE>>>](#) (video 2)

### Strategy #2

#### Rules

- Horse is priced between 10/1 and 25/1 (inclusive) at morning prices. (or at a time that suits you. The results are based on the morning prices I add next to the horse). Their starting price can be outside of this range, but they qualified based on their morning price.
- That's it. You then place your bet, either taking a price or to BFSP.
- As of the start of 2018 I am monitoring backing the bigger priced qualifiers (16/1-25/1) Each Way. Evidence suggests that this may be advisable. Based on 2017 it leads to similar profits to backing win only but with the added benefit of shorter losing runs/seeing a return non your bets more often.

#### Notes

- I think this is the most profitable systematic approach that there is based simply on points profit. However...
- *Losing Runs*: There have been some hefty ones, as you would imagine with these odds. 27, 36, 42 were the longest ones Jan-April 2017, with many others in the 8-15 range. A losing run of around 50-60 has been hit since then. I would recommend at least 150 point bank to be safe, start betting small (£2) and building up over time.
- It should be noted that in 2017,+117 points worth of this profit came from three big priced winners. But if you remove those they are still operating at a respectable 12% ROI and the point of this approach is to help find those winners. There has yet to be winner with a bigger morning price than 25/1.
- This is a healthy stats pool and should give some confidence moving forwards. However, if you do not like hefty losing runs and some very quiet periods, do not back these qualifiers systematically.
- Another option is to use these 'qualifiers' as your starting point in which to add in your own thoughts and to be more selective. Hopefully this base set of stats gives you that confidence moving forwards. This systematic approach isn't for the faint hearted but those are the stats, to use/ignore as you please.

### **Strategy #3: The Elite Squad**

#### Rules

- Elite Squad, as denoted by **ES** next to name, angles as per link in The Key [HERE>>>](#)

#### Notes

- **Caution...** some caution is advised with this approach now as they are on a horrid losing run, esp S3, after what was a fantastic start. Both S3/S3A are maintaining a 30% win/place sr and it could just be bad luck, with numerous horses going close. If that SR is maintained over time they should do ok. At -23 points or so for the pair it isn't a disaster, but the form of recent weeks is a major concern, especially for S3.
- **NOTE :** As of 8<sup>th</sup> Jan 2017 I would advise against backing S3 systematically for the time being until more evidence emerges of whether this is a valid approach or not. The impressive start indicated it would be but I cannot ignore what a poor run it has been on. As they do not include a 'ratings pointer' element, it's hard to be as confident of the future as with some other strategies.

### **Strategy #4: Treble Rated**

#### Rules

- Horse is treble rated so has three red symbols next to the qualifier: One of **H1/H3 + I1/I3 + G1/G3**

#### Notes

- Evidence to date suggests this is the most exciting of the strategies given the win + place % strike rate, it's consistency so far, and the confidence moving forward. As this is based on all three ratings sets, which are a constant and very different, hope is that results should just keep ticking over.
- As of 8<sup>th</sup> January 2018, when combining the treble rated for the flat + jumps: -
  - 205 bets / 67 wins / 113 places / 33% win SR / 55% win|place / +72.5 / 35% ROI
- If that can keep repeating every 200 bets or so we will be doing well. With any luck 'S4' can provide a solid foundation and add 75-100+ points to the pot every year.

### **Strategy #5: Inform 10/1+**

#### Rules

- Horse is Inform Speen Top Rated or Top 3 Rated: **I1** or **I3**
- Horse is priced 10/1 or bigger on morning prices as indicated by the odds I add next to the qualifiers. Alternatively they can be 10/1 or bigger at a time that you look.
- The horse **also qualifies** IF it is priced 11.00 or bigger at Betfair Starting Price. This covers those horses that are under 10/1 on morning prices but then drift. On morning prices they would not have qualified, but if their BFSP is 11.00 or bigger they qualify that way. You can set a 'minimum' odds for these types at a time that suits you. There is no need to monitor prices.
- A video example of using Betfair to set this price can be watched [HERE>>>](#) (video 2)

Appendix B: Test Zone / Notes / Other ideas

**The Micro Systems Test Zone / Section 3 of Members' Posts**

*Results for all National Hunt angles*

September 1<sup>st</sup> -Dec 31<sup>st</sup> 2017

Total: **137 bets / 30 wins / 47 places (inc wins) / +35.5 early|bog**

2018 Running Total

- 22<sup>nd</sup>-28<sup>th</sup> Jan : 3/16,5p, -4
- Total: 9/48,16p, +17.5

Nigel Twiston-Davies:

- 12/51,19p, +17.5 (ran Sept/Oct)

Irish Angles:

- 1/7,2p, +2
- 2018 : 0/3,1p, -3

Tom Lacey:

- 5/27, 10p, +8.5
- 2018 : 2/8,4p, +15.5

Paddy Brennan:

- 5/25,7p, -1
- 2018 : 3/17,6p, +4

D McCain:

- 7/24,9p, +11.5
- 2018: 4/14,4p, +7

A Dunn:

- 0/1,0p, -1
- 2018 : /

R Hobson:

- 0/2,0p, -2
- 2018 : 0/4,,0p -4

D Pipe:

- 2018: 0/1,0p, -1

Jonjo

- 2018: 0/1,0p, -1

## **'Notes Horses' : Section 2**

*What could be seen as the 'tipping' element of the daily posts. This is where I go through all system qualifiers/content and tip what I am most confident on, based on my own subjective approach to analysis of horses/form/profiles/price etc.*

Results 2017: Sept 1<sup>st</sup> to 31<sup>st</sup> Dec: 31/176, **+110.75 points** (1 point win bets)

Results 2018: 2/21,11p, +5

\*

### Other ideas

#### TTP Jumps, Section 1

#### ***Double or Treble Top rated***

#### Up to 31<sup>st</sup> Dec 2017

#### Jumps

#### Double Top Rated (any price)

*G1 + H1* : 9/20,12p, +7

*G1 + I1* : 4/16,7p, -4.5

*H1 + I1* : 8/25,14p, +5.5

Total: backed multiple times : 21/61,33p, +8.5

Within those three combos there are 'multiple' qualifiers for those that were 'treble top rated' (which would have been picked up in Strategy 4):

So, H1 I1 G1... they are... 4/12,9p, -0.5

Something there to keep an eye on. If you'd have just backed any 'double top rated' once...

***37 bets / 13 wins / 24 places / +10.25***

If you just focused on double top rated when there was an *H1 present*

(so, a non speed rating + a speed rating, a H plus a G1 or I1) :

45 bets / 17 wins / 26 places / +12.5

(Ignore treble rated, so just G1/H1, Or I1/H1... ***33 bets / 13 wins / 17p / +13***)

We are dealing with small numbers obviously but again, because they are based on ratings pointers, they should give us confidence that they may keep repeating over time. You should be able to have a lot of confidence in those qualifiers... it is clear that the bigger priced ones make the difference.. Old Guard was 15/2 and the biggest to date.

\*

S1 / S5 qualifiers that had other ratings pointers alongside

Strategy 1 (G1/G3, '10/1' +)

- a) Plus a H1 / H3 : 1/7,3p, +4
- b) Plus a I1 / I3 : 1/14,4p, +12 (25/1 winner)

Strategy 5 (I1/I3, '10/1'+)

- c) Plus a H1/H3 : 1/10,3p, +6
- d) Plus a G1/G3 (covered above) : 1/14,4p, +12 (25/1 winner)

\*

\*

### Strategies S3 + S3A

A look at S3 (ES) and S3A (ES+) when they qualify against other strategies and coincide with ratings pointers.

Results: 1<sup>st</sup> Sept 2017 – 21<sup>st</sup> Jan 2018

### Elite Squad (S3) + Other Strategies (S1/4/5)

1. ES + S1 : 1/16,7p, +1
2. ES + S4: 7/16, 11p, +10.75
3. ES + S5: 1/11,2p, +10

### Elite Squad + Ratings Pointers

1. ES + H1 : 5/20,12pm -4,75
2. ES + I1: 2/11,7p, -2.5
3. ES + G1: 2/16,5p, -10
4. ES + H3: 6/32,13p, -7
5. ES + I3: 7/44,15p, -0.75
6. ES + G3: 10/44,19p, +11.5

Elite Squad + Double Rated (any 2 of H1/3, I1/3, G1/G3) Including 'treble rated' (S4)

(Example: **ES H1 G3**) : 10/46,21p, -6.25

\*

Thoughts: Not too much on the 'elite squad' approach... when an ES qualifier is also treble rated isn't bad, but small numbers and not too out of line with all S4 qualifiers.

ES (S3) hasn't performed well in recent weeks generally and it could be I was too quick to assume it was a valid approach. It may just be useless, as discussed in recent results updates. It has been on quite the losing run.

There is more hope on the **Elite Squad+ (S3A)** as below. This is a more robust foundation given the approach to the angles within the TTP ... at least 10 winners, 25% win SR, 50% win/place, so in theory you'd expect it to do better I think, given there is more evidence of historical success/it being a pattern of behaviour.



So, same again as above but with ES+...

Elite Squad+ (S3A) + Other Strategies (S1/4/5)

1. ES+ + S1 : 0/5,0p, -5
2. ES+ + S4: 3/15,6p, +2
3. ES+ + S5: 0/7,1p, -7

Elite Squad + Ratings Pointers

1. ES+ + H1 : 2/12,5p, -8.25
2. ES+ + I1: 4/18,6p, -6
3. ES+ + G1: 0/6,2p, -6
4. ES+ + H3: **7/28, 10p, +13.6**
5. ES+ + I3: **5/23,8p, +12.5**
6. ES+ + G3: **6/27,8p, +8.5**

**Elite Squad+, + Double Rated** (any 2 of H1/3, I1/3, G1/G3) including 'treble rated' (S4)

(Example: **ES+ I3 G3**) : **10/37, 16p, +16.35**

Again everything here is small sample sizes so should be treated with caution. As always with any 'ratings pointer' based approach there is more hope/confidence that results may repeat over time. And ES+ is meant to be the 'best of' my trainer research from the TTP reports. There appears to be some promise in looking at S3A qualifiers when they have a ratings pointer (not top rated) alongside.. **H3** or **I3** or **G3**

All of those combined (numbers 4/5/6 in the bullets above) :

**18/78,26p, +34.6** (that does includes multiple qualifiers)